

DNCT Committee
Meeting Notes
Wednesday, 1:00-5:00
11/18/98

Agenda:

- i. Evaluation of scenarios to management by Monday.
- ii. Environmental Water Account
 - a. What is it
 - b. Where is it
 - c. How do we fill it
 - d. How do we use it
 - e. How big is it
- iii. Facilities (fish facilities team leaders)
- iv. Clarification of Scenarios:
Details of tools, costs, benefits
- v. Issues - loud and clear

Action Items

1. Modelers: run scenarios by Tues.
2. DEFT: define how to use EWA water in scenarios.
3. Everyone: Ron asked that we do not use the phrase "relaxing standards".
4. DEFT: define flexibility triggers for A1 actions
5. DEFT: need rules for triggering A1 actions

Highlights

- I. DWR modeling team presented scenario results. They were given corrections to make.
- II. Discussed EWA
- III. Russ presented figures for scenario A daily model to show effects of each measure on exports.
- IV. Set two tiers for NNG tool applications.
- V. Discussed Scenario F concept.

DWR Model of FWS Scenario (A1)

- a. Concern about San Joaquin water supply to meet extended VAMP.
- b. How often is VAMP handled.
- c. No Hood diversion
- d. Impacts present in Figure 3.
- e. QWEST standard applies, otherwise E/I still applies
- f. February 14 day VAMP
- g. Includes NNG tools except Semitropic, exchanges/purchases

New Instructions for modeling A1:

- h. VAMP 61 days not 75 - through June 15.
- i. Capped

- j. Need more realistic rules for triggering Jan-Mar QWEST and Feb VAMP - **DEFT Action** to modelers
- k. QWEST standard too limiting in Nov-Dec; need a less active trigger - **DEFT Action** to modellers
- l. Model with and without Hood diversion.
- m. Hood should be modeled at 2,000 and 4,000 cfs
- n. A1 Light: Tier 1 NNG tools only, BANKS of 8,500
- o. A1 Reg: all NNG tools, BANKS of 10,300.

Instructions for other modelling other scenarios or general:

- p. All should include expanded Banks and JPOD
- q. All should have the same facilities and tools + water supply actions + Hood diversion (A1 should run with and without Hood)

DWR Model Runs of other scenarios

- B: same as "A1" but phased
- C: Unlimited wheeling to CVP. Env could benefit from higher Banks. Different demands and storage changes results.
- D: AFRP #1, 4, & 6 are only AFRP actions in. Provides more basic water supply than other scenarios with different baselines.
- E: Export Reductions using Russ's days of restrictions instead of VAMP. No E/I.
- C&E: long term (include Tier 2 NNG tools) -

Hood Diversion Size:

Fish facilities team leaders (Dan Odenweller and Daryl Hayes) stipulated that Hood size recommended was 1500-3000 cfs for the purpose of testing. They did not evaluate effects of particular sized diversions and thus would not have had a specific opinion as to whether the diversion should be 2,000 or 4,000 cfs.

NNG Tool Schedule:

Short term (Tier 1) - 1-2 years: Kern GW Bank, Semitropic GW Bank, short term purchases, exchanges. Enlarged Banks, JPOD, Intertie

Longer Term (Tier 2) - 2+ years: Madera Ranch GW Bank, in-Delta storage, enlarged Shasta, exchanges purchases,

Russ's Daily Model Run of Scenario A and other scenarios

- Bruce: daily model does not take into account options for changing upstream storage - overestimates water supply hit.
- Russ: only meant to show relative effects of each measure on operations - but slightly overestimates ws hit.
- Dave F: still concerned about sequential modeling of San Luis storage.
- Russ: ran that and showed little effect.
- Bruce: wants to see individual year plots. **Action for Russ.**
- Bruce: split out salmon and smelt restriction days by month. **Action for Russ.**

B.J. Present Alternative F

- X2 flexing for EWA water only
- flexing E/I - shared

Comments:

1. Bruce: the February VAMP should not be triggered in every year; only after wet months.
2. Sushil: monthly time step is a problem.
3. B.J.: scenarios with EWAs would provide further env benefits if EWA were applied; thus these runs don't accurately portray fish protection.
4. B.J.: Is Hood diversion realistic for Stage 1?; FWS would allow as a WQ measure. Hood would provide 400 TAF, while JPOD/BANKS would provide 200 TAF in A1.
5. Bruce: Recommends dropping A for A1. - All agree. **Action - "A" dropped.**
6. Pete R: Need to simulate Hood at various levels to determine effect on A1. We should carry forth issues/problems if we include either Hood level.
7. Jim W: Hood is only an issue with timing - if we really don't trigger QWEST that often, then we may not need Hood to protect water supply.
8. Dave F: In-Delta storage and south-of-Delta storage would help to meet VAMP.
9. B.J.: Delta wetlands would provide about 240 TAF.
10. George: Identifying storage is an engineering concern.
11. Bruce: We should be using all NNG tools in the scenarios.
12. Elise: Scenarios should be portrayed with all their caveats.
13. B.J.: Scenario B NNG tool application should reflect our schedule.
14. Dave F: Include Tier 1 NNG tools in A1 to reflect early Stage 1. Should have limited standards to match Tier 1 water supply. B1 can have all NNG tools with more stringent standards to match.
15. George: hard to model magic water (e.g., extended VAMP) - where does it come from.
16. B.J.: Any deficits in WS predicted by models should be made up by (1) transfers South of Delta or (2) water purchased NOD as a matter of policy. Post processor analysis should portray these requirements - no need to model specifically.
17. Elise: should include provision for non-export water to meet some of demands. (Transfers, purchases, conservation, recycling)
18. Chet B: look at shortfalls as one user buying from the others.
19. George: How do we make this work on a yearly basis?
20. Dave F: Should run A1 Light and Regular.
21. B.J.: Need to Define flexibility of A1 standards - **DEFT Action**
22. Bruce: Already have triggers that can't be modeled. A1 standards are flexible based on salmon salvage, monitoring. We could use salvage data to simulate triggers.
23. Bruce: A1 is modeled as worst case -- too stringent. VAMP and spring QWEST also have flex triggers. Model did not include winter 14 VAMP after wet January.
24. Gary: CWT - QWEST trigger would not trigger in November as modeled; only in January after wet San Joaquin. For C, D, and E we need to apply EWA water to be realistic.
25. Karl: enlarging Hood is not a good way to help QWEST - better to scale back on QWEST standard.
26. Pete R: how do we balance water supply costs in A1 and B1 - should we waste time

- trying to model without knowing when standards are triggered?
27. B.J.: would like E based on Accord, not AFRP. Can use EWA water first to meet AFRP, then share additional water.
28. Russ: need to define how we use EWA in C, D, and E.

Agenda for next two days:

- Issues statements
- EWA
- Bruce's Hybrid
- Scenario F evaluation
- DEFT - biol triggers (on/off)